

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/071,908	KINNARD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rudy Zervigon	1763	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Rudy Zervigon.

(3) _____.

 (2) Peter R. Hagerty.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 August 2006
Time: 13:00
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All pending

Claims discussed:

1, 32

Prior art documents discussed:

Halpin (6,143,079), Tanaka (5,091,207), and Wengert (6,325,858)
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner contacted Mr. Hagerty to request authorization for the present Examiner's amendment. Mr. Hagerty returned my phone call authorizing the amendment herewith.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)